Fractals in Physiology

1

A Biomedical Engineering Perspective

J.P. Marques de Sá – jmsa@fe.up.pt INEB – Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica Faculdade de Engenharia da Universidade do Porto © 1999-2001 J.P. Marques de Sá; all rights reserved (*)

(*) Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted provided that:

- Copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage.
- Copies bear this notice and full citation on the first page.

To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on services, or to redistribute to lists, require specific permission and/or fee.

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Fractals in Physiology
- **3.** Self-Similarity and Fractal Dimension
- 4. Statistical Self-Similarity
- 5. Self-Affinity and the Fractional Brownian Model
- 6. Chaotic Systems and Fractals
- 7. Why Nature uses Fractals?
- 8. Fractals in BME



1 - MOTIVATION

Many physiological signals exhibit almost random behaviour...

Foetal Heart Rate



but with statistical "self-similarity"



Model: Temporal Fractal

Many physiological structures exhibit a high degree of spatial complexity...

Human Retina Image





Retina angiogram. A.M. Mendonça, A. Campilho (1997)

But some "repeating" law may be present...

2

Ξ£



Diffusion Limited Aggregation Process: a particle falls randomly in a circle of radius R and diffuses until anchoring to another particle or getting out of the circle. Nr of points available for growth in a circle of radius r: $N(r) \approx r^D$

Model: Spatial Fractal

Hydroxyapatite Growth on Bioactive Surfaces



C.Felgueiras, J.P. Marques de Sá, 1998

Fractal modelling may help to assess the growth conditions



2 - FRACTALS IN PHYSIOLOGY

(Some Examples)

Temporal Fractals

- Heart beat sequences
- Electroencephalograms
- Time intervals between action potentials
- Respiratory tidal volumes
- Ion channel kinetics in cell membranes
- Glycolysis metabolism
- DNA sequences mapping

Spatial Fractals

L L H F I

- Intestine and placenta linings
- Airways in lungs
- Arterial system in kidneys
- Ducts in lever
- His-Purkinje fibres in the heart
- Blood vessels in circulatory system
- Neuronal growth patterns
- Retinal vasculature
- <u>Reference</u>: Bassingthwaighte JB, Liebovitch, L. S., West B. J. (1994) Fractal Physiology. Oxford University Press.

INEB – Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal



3 - SELF-SIMILARITY AND FRACTAL DIMENSION

Koch curve



Self-similarity dimension: How many parts in an object are similar to the whole ?

Simple Objects

Dimension = D = 1			
r = 1	r = 1/3		
N = 1	$N = 3 = (1/3)^{-1}$		

Dimension = D = 2

<u>i</u>

NL=F'



Number of exact replicas N(r) when the resolution is r :

 $\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\right)^{\mathbf{D}} = \mathbf{r}^{-\mathbf{D}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{D} = \frac{\log(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r}))}{\log(1/\mathbf{r})}$



Fractal Objects

(with exact self-similarity)



Fractal:

i liter

Any object with D having a fraction part?

Not always...

Any object with D > topological dimension?

Not always...



Length of the object :





Any object with a property L(r) satisfying a Power Law Scaling:

$$L(\mathbf{r}) \propto \mathbf{r}^{\alpha},$$

is said to have a fractal property L(r).

α = 1-D	for lengths
α = 2-D	for areas
$\alpha = 3-D$	for volumes



$$L(ar) = k L(r) ,$$

with a < 1, $k=a^{\alpha}$

and

k, iteration factor, independent of r

For the Koch curve:

J.L.LEE

$$r = 1 \implies L(1) = 1$$

 $r = 1/3 \implies L(1/3) = 4/3 = 4/3 \cdot L(1)$
 $r = 1/9 \implies L(1/9) = 16/9 = 4/3 \cdot L(1/3)$
 $L(r/3) = 4/3 \cdot L(r)$



Cardiac Pacing Electrode

Zr – Interface impedance with roughness effect

Zi – Interface impedance per unit of true interface area

$$Zr = a (Zi)^{\beta}$$
$$\beta = 1/(D-1)$$

Roughening the surface $\rightarrow D \approx 3 \rightarrow \beta \approx 0.5$

A LIEE

Estimating the Fractal Dimension

WARNAMEL WARNER WAR

Length: $L(r) = rN(r) \propto r^{1-D}$



Number of covering boxes or spheres: $N(r) \propto r^{-D}$



Box-counting: number of squares containing a piece of the object

Statistical Self-Similarity

Generalisation:

J. L. LEE

$$\mathbf{D_{cap}} = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \ln(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r})) / \ln(1/\mathbf{r}) ,$$

capacity dimension

(Grassberg and Procaccia)

Result of box-counting method applied to retina angiogram



C.Felgueiras, J.P. Marques de Sá, A.M. Mendonça (1999)

D = 1.82

Number of branching sites \propto (cluster diameter)^D

Generalised Fractal Dimensions

(In a coverage of N(r) boxes of size r)

Information dimension:

$$\mathbf{D}_{inf} = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{r}) / \ln(\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} -\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r})} p_i \ln p_i / \ln(\mathbf{r})$$

 $S(\boldsymbol{r})-Entropy;\,\boldsymbol{p}_i$ - probability for a point to lie in box i

Correlation dimension:

$$\mathbf{D_{corr}} = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \ln(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{r})) / \ln(\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \ln \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r})} \mathbf{p}_i^2 / \ln(\mathbf{r})$$

C(r) : Number of pairs of points in box i

Generalised dimensions:

i liter

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{q}} = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \frac{1}{1-\mathbf{q}} \frac{\ln \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{r})} \mathbf{p}_{i}^{\mathbf{q}}}{\ln(1/\mathbf{r})} = \lim_{\mathbf{r} \to 0} \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})}{\ln(1/\mathbf{r})}$$

$$D_0 = D_{cap}$$
; $D_1 = D_{inf}$; $D_2 = D_{corr}$

 D_q decreases with q, e.g.: $D_{cap} \ge D_{inf} \ge D_{corr}$







ha – hydroxiapatite substrate comp – composite glass substrate

iller

and the second of the



Ha – Hydroxiapatite comp 2 – composite 2 glass



INEB – Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal

15

5 - SELF-AFFINITY AND THE FRACTIONAL BROWNIAN MODEL

The symmetric random walk

J. L. LEE





$$P(x(n) = k) = \binom{n}{\frac{n+k}{2}} \frac{1}{2^n} \qquad k = -n, -n+2, ..., n-2, n$$

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})] = 0 \qquad \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{n})] = \mathbf{n}$$

Probability of the random walk for n=16.





Taking:rpoints per unit time±εjumps

With: $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \infty$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$; $\mathbf{r}\epsilon^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$

Symmetric Random Walk → Brownian Motion Function

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi t}} \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{x}^2/2\sigma^2 t}$$

Properties:



Self-Affinity			
$\Delta t \rightarrow r. \Delta t$; $\sigma(B) \rightarrow r^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \sigma(B)$		

Iteration factor dependent on r (compare with page 9)



and the second of the second o

Two processes:

Self-similar:
$$L\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}L(r)$$

$$L\!\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{r}}{2}L(r)$$



INEB – Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal

20

Fractional Brownian Motion

Def.: Moving average of B(t,x) with increments weighted by $(t-s)^{H-1/2}$ - "random walk with memory"

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{x}) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{s})^{\mathbf{H}-1/2} \mathbf{d}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x}) \qquad \mathbf{H} \in \left]0,1\right[$$

Hurst coefficient

Self-affine process with :

 $\sigma(B_H)\, \propto \, \Delta t^H$

$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{2}$	Brownian motion		
$0 < H < \frac{1}{2}$	fBm with anti-correlated		
	samples		
$1/_{2} < H < 1$	fBm with correlated samples		

$B_{\rm H}(t)$

 $\sigma(B_H) \propto t^H$

Kernel



Computing the fractal dimension of the fBm :



For $\Delta t = \frac{1}{N} \longrightarrow \Delta x = \Delta t^{H} = \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{H}$

The region $\Delta x \Delta t$ is covered by:

 $\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{H}}} \quad \text{squares of size} \quad \mathbf{L} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{N}}$

The whole segment is covered by:

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{N} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{N}}{\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{H}}}\right) = \mathbf{N}^{2-\mathbf{H}} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}^{2-\mathbf{H}}}$$
$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{2} - \mathbf{H}$$

Therefore:

ALLER

Higushi method: L(k)=sk^{1-D}=sk^{H-1}



6 - Chaotic Systems and Fractality

Chaotic system:

Deterministic dynamic system with high sensitivity to initial conditions.

Example:

Hénon process:

 $x(n+1) = y(n) + 1 - 1.4x(n)^{2};$ y(n+1) = 0.3x(n).



Figure 9. a) Hénon process signals with initial values differing in 10⁻¹²; b) Hénon attractor; c) A detail of the attractor.

Some properties:

i late

- High sensitivity to initial conditions.
- Values not predictable in the long run.
- System state describes intricate trajectories (attractor) in the phase space.

Analysis tool: Attractors in the phase-space



Takens Theorem:

i Inder

The set {x[i],x[i+k],...,x[i+dk]} is topologically equivalent to the attractor in an embedding space of dimension d+1.





FHR modelling by a bi-scale fBm fractal



showing two scaling regions

A LILER



FHR bi-scale behaviour











ALLE



Foetal Heart Attractor

(Stage A; Delay= 8 samples ≈ 20 s)



C. Felgueiras, J.P. Marques de Sá (1998)



FHR Classification Results

Actual	No. of	Predicted Class		
Class	Cases	Α	В	FS
A	31	26 (84%)	5 (16%)	0 (0%)
В	50	2 (4%)	48 (96%)	0 (0%)
FS	43	2 (5%)	0 (0%)	41 (95%)

7 Features (3 temporal; 4 attractor)

Training set error: 7.3% - Test set error: 15.4%

Comparison with traditional clinical method:

FHR	No. of	Pe %		
Class	Cases	Fractal	STV	р
Α	31	16.1	67. 7	< 0.001
В	50	4.0	26.0	< 0.001
FS	43	4.7	18.6	0.021
Total	124	7.3	33.9	< 0.001

C. Felgueiras, J.P. Marques de Sá, J. Bernardes, S. Gama (1998) *Classification of Foetal Heart Rate Sequences Based on Fractal Features*, Medical & Biological Eng. & Comp., 36(2): 197-201.

INEB – Instituto de Engenharia Biomédica, Porto, Portugal

ALLER

8 - FINAL COMMENT

Interest of Fractal Modelling in BME

- Reveal the mechanisms that produce physiologic signals and structures.
- Clarify the meaning of measurements.
- Clarify the existence of deterministic causes in apparent random behaviour.
- Derive model parameters to classify data.

